



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/764,622 01/26/2004		01/26/2004	Beat Stamm	14984.36	8918	
47973	7590	06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
		GGER/MICROSO	WANG, JIN CHENG			
1000 EAGLI 60 EAST SC	-	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SALT LAKE			2672			

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/764,62	22	STAMM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Art Unit					
		Jin-Cheng	_	2672					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE M Extensi after SI - If the po - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication briod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evo tion. s, a reply within the stat period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from i lication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n							
2a)□ T	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
7) 🗌 C									
8) 🗌 C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ T	ne specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Д	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International B	Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s			_						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	140)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/2004</u> .			atent Application (PT	O-152)				
<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/26/2004 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaasila U.S. Patent No. 5,155,805 (hereinafter Kaasila).

Claim 1:

Kaasila discloses that, in a computing system that has access to a set of control points, the set of control points for generating an outline of a graphical object, the outline being utilized to determine how the graphical object is rendered on a pixel grid, the location of some control points being constrained to pre-determined locations, a computerized method for dynamically determining one or more directions of freedom for a control point such that the control point can be moved to comply with a corresponding one or more constraints, the method comprising:

Identifying a first function (functions are described in column 9, lines 41-67) that represents a first constraint (e.g., a first constraint being a distance constraint wherein the projection vector specifies in distance between the original position of the control point and the

desired position of the control point; see column 9-10), solutions to the first function indicating compliance with the first constraint (e.g., the new positions of control point depend upon the freedom vector and the projection vector which are automatically determined by the routines and functions as disclosed in column 9-10 such that the distance constraint is satisfied to the extent that the distance between the desired position of the control point and the original position of the control point is reduced to the minimum possible value; column 9-10);

Calculating, based on the location of the control point and the identified first function (e.g., the first function is automatically identified depending upon the location of the control point, the freedom vector and the projection vector; column 9-10), that the control point does not comply with the first constraint (the original control point does not comply with the distance constraint as specified such that the distance between the desired control point and the original control point is reduced to a minimum possible; column 9-10), and

automatically determining a first direction of freedom in which the control point can be moved to comply with the first constraint such that movement of the control point in the first direction of freedom has a reduced likelihood of causing non-compliance with other constraints (e.g., the new positions of control point depend upon the freedom vector and the projection vector which are automatically determined by the routines and functions as disclosed in column 9-10 and the step of adjusting the position of the control point along the freedom vectors until a balance of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved, i.e., other constraints associated with the other control points can be satisfied; column 9-10).

Claim 2:

The claim 2 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of processing instructions included in a set of control points.

However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of processing instructions included in a set of control points (column 10, lines 1-45; see also column 7-8).

Claim 3:

The claim 3 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of identifying a first function that represents one of a distance constraint and a proportion constraint. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of identifying a first function that represents one of a distance constraint and a proportion constraint ((e.g., a first constraint being a distance constraint wherein the projection vector specifies in distance between the original position of the control point and the desired position of the control point; see column 9-10).

Claim 4:

The claim 4 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of determining that using the control point as input to the first function does not result in a value that approximates a zero for the first function. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining that using the control point as input to the first function does not result in a value that approximates a zero for the first function (e.g., moving the control point a predetermined distance being non-zero so that the control point can be moved and applying font instructions including Delta exceptions and projection and freedom vectors to adjust the diagonal stroke of "Y"; see column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 5:

The claim 5 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of determining that the first direction of is to be in the direction of an X-axis. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining that the first direction of is to be in the direction of an X-axis (e.g., column 9, lines 23-62).

Claim 6:

The claim 6 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of determining that the first direction of is to be in the direction of an Y-axis. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining that the first direction of is to be in the direction of an Y-axis (e.g., the freedom and projection vectors are set to the y-axis; see column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 7:

The claim 7 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of moving the control point in the first direction of freedom to comply with the first constraint. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of moving the control point in the first direction of freedom to comply with the first constraint (e.g., the new positions of control point depend upon the freedom vector and the projection vector which are automatically determined by the routines and functions as disclosed in column 9-10 and the step of adjusting the position of the control point along the freedom vectors until a balance of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved, i.e., other constraints associated with the other control points can be satisfied; column 7-10).

Claim 8:

The claim 8 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of measuring a first angle between a first direction of compliance and a first axis; measuring a second angle between the first direction of compliance and the second axis; and determining that the first angle is smaller than the second angle. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of measuring a first angle between a first direction of compliance and a first axis; measuring a second angle between the first direction of compliance and the second axis; and determining that the first angle is smaller than the second angle (e.g., column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 9:

The claim 8 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 8 except additional claim limitation of identifying a second function that represents a second constraint, solutions to the second function indicating compliance with the second constraint; and setting a second direction of freedom perpendicular to the first direction of compliance, the second direction of freedom indicating a direction in which the control point can move to comply with the second constraint. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of identifying a second function that represents a second constraint, solutions to the second function indicating compliance with the second constraint; and setting a second direction of freedom perpendicular to the first direction of compliance, the second direction of freedom indicating a direction in which the control point can move to comply with the second constraint (e.g., column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 10:

The claim 10 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 9 except additional claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of the second axis. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of the second axis by applying font instructions (column 9-10).

Claim 11:

The claim 11 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 10 except additional claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of an X-axis. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of an X-axis (e.g., Repeating the step of moving the control point and setting the direction of freedom vector until a balance of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved including setting the freedom vector to the x-axis by the application of font instructions; see column 9-10).

Claim 12:

The claim 12 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 10 except additional claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of an Y-axis. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to the direction of an Y-axis (e.g., Repeating the step of moving the control point and setting the direction of freedom vector until a balance of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved including setting the freedom vector to the y-axis by the application of font instructions; see column 9-10).

Claim 13:

The claim 13 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 9 except additional claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to a diagonal direction. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of setting the second direction of freedom to a diagonal direction (e.g., Repeating the step of moving the control point and setting the direction of freedom vector until a balance of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved including setting the freedom vector to the diagonal direction in relation to the projection vector by the application of font instructions; see column 9-10).

Claim 14:

The claim 14 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 9 except additional claim limitation of moving the control point along the second direction of freedom to comply with the second constraint in a manner that does not result in non-compliance with the first constraint. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of moving the control point along the second direction of freedom to comply with the second constraint in a manner that does not result in non-compliance with the first constraint (e.g., the freedom vector tells the control point the direction it should move and the projection vector determines the desired distance projection between control points and these vectors are used in calculating the resulting vector for determining the new position of control point until a balanced of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved; see column 9-10).

Claim 15:

The claim 15 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation of receiving a set of control points representing a character of text. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of receiving a set of control points

representing a character of text (e.g., the freedom vector tells the control point the direction it should move and the projection vector determines the desired distance projection between control points and these vectors are used in calculating the resulting vector for determining the new position of control point until a balanced of the diagonal stroke weight of letter "Y" is achieved in which "Y" is a character of text; see column 9-10).

Page 9

Claim 16:

Kaasila teaches that, in a computing system that has access to a set of control points, the set of control points for representing an outline of a graphical object, a method for setting the direction of freedom vectors for one or more of the controls points, the method comprising:

For each control point in the set of control points, determining the number of constraints the control point is to comply with (e.g., Delta exceptions permit user of font rendering engines to quickly correct and adjust the outlines of a glyph over a significant range of resolution to enhance typeface with raster output devices at a resolution; the set of control points are illustrated in 7-9 and the number of constraints the control point is to comply with are described in column 7-10 in which the freedom and projection vector are means to move control points in desired direction and to measure distances along the projection vector and these two vectors are manipulated iteratively);

When the control point is to comply with one or more constraints:

Identifying a first projection vector corresponding to a first constraint, compliance with the first constraint being determined by measuring a distance from the control point, in the direction of the first projection vector, to another portion of the outline or to a pre-determined location (column 10, lines 1-45 in which the control point is iteratively adjusted in accordance

with the font instructions and the freedom vector and projection vector are determined in which the projection vector specifies a direction along which the difference in distance between the original position of the control point and the desired position of the control point is measured and the freedom vector specifies the direction a selected control point should move; the control points are manipulated with freedom and projection vectors in a plurality of lines; Fig. 12);

Determining that the direction of the first projection vector is closer to the direction of a first axis than to the direction of a second axis, the first axis being perpendicular to the second axis (column 10, lines 1-45); and

Setting the direction of a first freedom vector to the direction of the first axis, the first freedom vector indicating a direction in which the control point can move to comply with the first constraint (e.g., column 9-10).

Claim 17:

The claim 17 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 16 except additional claim limitation of determining the number of constraints the control point is to comply with. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining the number of constraints the control point is to comply with in the iterative process (column 9-10).

Claim 18:

The claim 18 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 16 except additional claim limitation of determining that the control point is to comply with one constraint. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining that the control point is to comply with one constraint (column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 19:

The claim 19 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 16 except additional claim limitation of determining that the control points is to comply with two constraints. However, Kaasila further discloses the claim limitation of determining that the control point is to comply with two constraints (column 10, lines 1-45).

Claim 20:

The claim 20 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> My a. Bries PRIMARY EXAMINED

jcw